

Najafzadeh M¹, Oladapo A², Ewenstein B², Raj T¹, Bohn R¹, Avorn J¹

² Shire, Cambridge, MA

•We conducted a cost-effectiveness analysis to compare clinical outcomes and economic value of using rEVIII, rEVIIa, or AICC.

- We conducted one-way and probabilistic sensitivity analyses to assess robustness of our results to model assumptions.

```

graph LR
    Hypo[Hypothetical patient] --> Params[Age, gender, weight, TX history]
    Params --> Model[Optimal model parameters]
    Model --> TX[TX]
    TX --> BleedNot[Bleed not controlled]
    TX --> BleedYes[Bleed controlled]
    BleedNot --> SwitchTX[Switch TX]
    BleedYes --> AdverseEvent[Adverse event?]
    SwitchTX --> MajorThrombosis[Major thrombosis]
    SwitchTX --> MinorThrombosis[Minor thrombosis]
    SwitchTX --> NoThrombosis[No thrombosis]
    AdverseEvent --> MajorThrombosis
    AdverseEvent --> MinorThrombosis
    AdverseEvent --> NoThrombosis
    MajorThrombosis --> Survive1[Survive]
    MajorThrombosis --> ThromboticDeath[Thrombotic death]
    MinorThrombosis --> Survive1
    MinorThrombosis --> Death1Year[Death 1 year of TX]
    NoThrombosis --> Survive1
    Survive1 --> FollowUp[Follow-up]
    FollowUp --> BleedRecurrence[Bleed recurrence]
    FollowUp --> NoRecurrence[No recurrence]
    BleedRecurrence --> BackgroundMortality[Background mortality]
    BackgroundMortality --> RecursOutcomes[Recurs outcomes]
    BackgroundMortality --> Survive2[Survive]
    NoRecurrence --> Survive2
    Survive2 --> RecursOutcomes
    Survive2 --> Survive3[Survive]
  
```

	rpVfVf (n= 28)	rVfVf (n=169)	ALCC (n=60)	Distribution used to model variation	Data source
Cohort characteristics					
Age (years, median, IQR)	70 (61 + 81)	73 (15 + 91)	78 (24 + 92)	Normal	Kissmann et al (2019); Baudou et al (2012)
Weight (mean, median, IQR)	74 (47 + 106)	69 (40 + 130)	69 (44 + 107)	Normal	Kissmann et al (2019); Baudou et al (2012)
Human PIVIL inhibitor test (SIU/mL)	46 (4 + 651)	15 (+ 2765)	17 (0.1 + 17002)	Gamma	Kissmann et al (2019); Baudou et al (2012)
Proportion (%) of patients treated as second line therapy	11 of 28 (39%)	24 of 183 (13%)	15 of 75 (20%)	Binomial	Kissmann et al (2019); Baudou et al (2012)
Efficacy parameters					
Rates of blood control when used as first line therapy	16 of 17 (94%)	143 of 159 (91.2%)	56 of 60 (93.3%)	Beta	Kissmann et al (2019); Baudou et al (2012)
Rates of blood control when used as second line therapy	54 of 61 (73.7%)	54 of 69 (78.2%)	54 of 69 (78.2%)	Beta	Kissmann et al (2019); Baudou et al (2012)
Overall mortality	7 of 28 (25%)	29 of 174 (16.7%)	12 of 63 (19%)	Beta	Kissmann et al (2019); Baudou et al (2012)
Thrombotic events (TE)	0 of 28 (0%)	5 of 174 (2.9%)	3 of 63 (4.8%)	Beta	Kissmann et al (2019); Baudou et al (2012)
Medication utilization					
Total product utilization (unit/blood control, Median (IQR))	1550 / 14 (102 + 12 194)	846 (24 + 216)	30,000 / 5 (12,000 + 56,000)	N/A	Kissmann et al (2019); Baudou et al (2012)
Product unit cost (\$)	1.92 per unit	1.92 per unit	1.92 per unit	N/A	CMS

	rpFVIII	rFVIIa	AICC
Characteristics of simulated cohorts			
Age at baseline	72.5	72.5	72.5
Weight	70.3	70.3	70.3
Proportion of patients treated as second line therapy	39%	39%	39%
Treatment outcomes in simulated cohorts			
Bleed control (% of patients)	90.2%	89.7%	90.8%
	(79.1 - 97.7)	(82.7 - 96.3)	(83.3 - 96.8)
Required treatment rounds (mean)	1.41	1.44	1.38
Switched treatment (%)	7%	8%	5%
Major thrombotic event (% of patients)	0.4%	2.3%	2.3%
Minor thrombotic event (% of patients)	0.1%	1.0%	1.2%
Death within the first year total (% of patients)	34.6%	46.8%	46.6%
Cost-effectiveness results			
Cost of treatment medications (\$)	652,878	232,487	102,282
Cost of hospitalization (\$)	22,643	22,561	22,184
Cost of thrombotic events (\$)	103	677	718
Total cost per patient (\$) and 95% CrI	672,200 (621,900 to 729,500)	263,800 (227,300 to 309,400)	133,600 (100,300 to 181,800)
QALYs gained per patient and 95% CrI	6.56 (5.38 to 7.63)	6.77 (6.07 to 7.48)	6.70 (5.91 to 7.50)

Incremental Cost (\$)

Incremental Effectiveness (QALYs)

- △ rFVIIa vs AICC
- rpFVIII vs AICC
- ▲ rFVIIa vs AICC (point estimate)
- rpFVIII vs AICC (point estimate)

The red line slope indicates the threshold equivalent to \$100,000 per QALY.

However, results should be interpreted with caution, as sources from which input data were drawn may not be comparable due to differences in methodology, and differences in AHA severity and comorbidities. Unlike patients in EACH2 registry, patients in rpVIII trial were treated for life-threatening or limb-threatening bleeds after failing on bypassing agents. This might have affected comparability of efficacy, mortality, and utilization rates across treatments. Our conclusion can change if additional evidence emerges about lower utilization rates and unit price for rpVIII. Ultimately, optimal treatment choice for managing acute bleed in AHA will depend on complex factors in the actual clinical setting such as patient treatment history, comorbidities, and product availability.

4. Franzini M, Gandini G, Di Pascolantonio A, Mariani G. Acquired hemophilia A: a concise review. *American journal of hematology*. 2005; 80: 55-63.

5. Kruess JAMES R, St Louis J, Greife A, Shapiro AD, Smith G, Chowdhary P, Drees AB, Gomperts ED, Chapman M, Mo M, Novack AD, Fain HD. Treatment of Treatment-Resistant Severe Hemophilia With a B-Domain Deleted Recombinant Porcine Sequence Factor VIII (OB8-I) in Patients With Acquired Hemophilia A: A Prospective Clinical Trial. *1*.

6. Bauris F, Collins P, Hult-Huuskja A, Levesque H, Marzo P, Nemes L, Pelligrini F, Torgerson L, Kneofel R. Management of bleeding in acquired hemophilia A: results from the European Acquired Haemophilia (EAH2) Registry. *Blood*. 2012; 120: 39-46.

7. Kneofel R, Marzo P, Bauris F, Collins P, Hult-Huuskja A, Nemes L, Pelligrini F, Torgerson L, Levesque H. Demographic and clinical data in acquired hemophilia A: results from the European Acquired Haemophilia Registry (EAH2). *Journal of thrombosis and haemostasis* : JTH. 2012; 12: 620-21.



ISTH
2017
CONGRESS
JULY 8-13



Poster Session Online